

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/692,303	10/19/2000	Ann Kerstin B.K. Lindell	AC02736US 5740	
	590 05/23/2002		EXAM	iner
Joan M McGillycuddy Akzo Nobel Inc Intellectual Property Department			PIANALTO, BERNARD D	
7 Livingstone Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3408			1762	12
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/692,303	LINDELL ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Bernard D Pianalto	1762					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	May 2002.						
2a)⊠	•	his action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
1) 🔲 Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/692,303

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bolte et al for the same reasons as urged in the second office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5-20-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue in the third full paragraph on page 1 of their response that "Bolte et al ... discloses ... a coating composition comprising (a) ... polymer, (b) ... and/or ... (c)". The examiner does not agree. See Bolte et al at col. 6, lines 35-61 where it is disclosed that the hot melt may be (a), (b) or (c). So the hot melt is not limited as applicants suggest. Applicants further argue in the first full paragraph on page 2 of their response that "Bolte et al ... used as component (a) have a melting point above 100 degrees C". The examiner is not convinced by this argument since the reference hot melt is not limited to materials from (a) as suggested above. Applicants further argues in the paragraph bridging pages 1 and 2 of their response that "Bolte et al does not ... provide a non tacky protective coating". The examiner is not convinced by this argument since Bolte et al is in fact not interested in a tacky coating. See col. 21, lines 50-68.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard D Pianalto whose telephone number is 703 308 2332. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-6:00 Mon-Wed.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703 308 2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 5665.

US 096923030EP1



Creation date: 09-12-2003

Indexing Officer: TKASSAYE - TILAHUN KASSAYE

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09692303

Legal Date: 10-23-2002

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	N/AP	1
2	A	4

Total number of pages: 5

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on